

Osteoarthritis and Cartilage

Review

Identifying potential working mechanisms behind the positive effects of exercise therapy on pain and function in osteoarthritis; a systematic review



J. Runhaar †*, P. Luijsterburg †, J. Dekker ‡, S.M.A. Bierma-Zeinstra †§

† Department of General Practice, Erasmus MC University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

‡ Department of Rehabilitation Medicine and Department of Psychiatry, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

§ Department of Orthopedics, Erasmus MC University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 25 August 2014

Accepted 29 December 2014

Keywords:

Physical exercise

Osteoarthritis

Working mechanism

Mediation

SUMMARY

Objective: Although physical exercise is commonly recommended for osteoarthritis (OA) patients, the working mechanism behind the positive effects of physical exercise on pain and function is a black box phenomenon. In the present study we aimed to identify possible mediators in the relation between physical exercise and improvements of pain and function in OA patients.

Design: A systematic search for all studies evaluating the effects of physical exercise in OA patients and select those that additionally reported the change in any physiological factor from pre-to post-exercise.

Results: In total, 94 studies evaluating 112 intervention groups were included. Most included studies evaluated subjects with solely knee OA (96 out of 112 groups). Based on the measured physiological factors within the included studies, 12 categories of possible mediators were formed. Muscle strength and ROM/flexibility were the most measured categories of possible mediators with 61 and 21 intervention groups measuring one or more physiological factors within these categories, respectively. 60% (31 out of 52) of the studies showed a significant increase in knee extensor muscle strength and 71% (22 out of 31) in knee flexor muscle strength over the intervention period. All 5 studies evaluating extension impairments and 10 out of 12 studies (83%) measuring proprioception found a significant change from pre-to post-intervention.

Conclusion: An increase of upper leg strength, a decrease of extension impairments and improvement in proprioception were identified as possible mediators in the positive association between physical exercise and OA symptoms.

© 2015 Osteoarthritis Research Society International. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Despite the high prevalence and burden on health care systems, currently there is no cure for osteoarthritis (OA)¹, whilst the number of individuals affected with symptomatic OA is expected to increase due to the aging of the population and the increasing prevalence of obesity worldwide^{1,2}. Physical exercise is the most

recommended non-pharmacological intervention for OA patients³, since there is high-level evidence that physical exercise reduces pain and enhances physical function of joints affected by OA^{4–7}. Even though there are a large number of available trials evaluating the effects of various exercise regimes on symptomatic relief in subjects with OA, still physical exercise as a treatment modality is a black box phenomenon. To date, a wide variety of physiological factors (e.g., muscle strength⁸, proprioception⁹, cytokine release¹⁰, joint stability¹¹) are thought to be influenced by physical exercise and might partly be responsible for the positive effects of physical exercise on OA symptoms, but proper mediation analyses are lacking. Through mediation analyses one can statistically determine whether the effect of an intervention (in this case a physical exercise program) on the outcome variable (OA symptoms) can be explained by the changes in a mediator variable that is also affected

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2015.03.034>.

* Address correspondence and reprint requests to: J. Runhaar, Erasmus MC University Medical Center Rotterdam, Room NA 1906, PO-box 2040, 3000 CA Rotterdam, The Netherlands. Tel.: 31-10-7044192; Fax: 31-10-7044766.

E-mail addresses: j.runhaar@erasmusmc.nl (J. Runhaar), p.luijsterburg@erasmusmc.nl (P. Luijsterburg), j.dekker@vumc.nl (J. Dekker), s.bierma-zeinstra@erasmusmc.nl (S.M.A. Bierma-Zeinstra).

by the independent variable (in this case physiological factors)^{12,13}. Without the knowledge on how physical exercise enhances pain and function in OA, optimizing the content of physical exercise protocols for maximal symptomatic relief is virtually impossible. The objective of the present study was to identify physiological factors potentially affected by physical exercise in OA subjects by systematically search for all studies evaluating the effects of physical exercise in OA subjects and select those that additionally reported on the change in any physiological factor. This will provide an overview of possible mediators in the association between physical exercise and symptomatic relief in OA patients and is a first step in unravelling the black box phenomenon of physical exercise as a treatment modality in OA.

Methods

The PRISMA statement was used for reporting this study¹⁴. Methods were specified in advance and documented in a protocol (not published, but available on request). A systematic search for all trials evaluating the effect of a physical exercise programme in subjects with OA in the Pubmed and Embase databases was performed (up to 20 February 2014), using the search strategies outlined in the Appendix. Additionally, the references of three recent systematic reviews^{4–6} and the included studies were checked for eligible studies. To be eligible, studies needed to have one or more groups, with a minimal of 10 subjects per group, assigned to a physical exercise regime with a pre- and post-measurement of any physiological factor other than pain or function and all subjects needed to have radiographic and/or symptomatic OA in one or more joints. Intervention groups with a combination of physical exercise and interventions other than education (e.g., acupuncture, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation [TENS], nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs [NSAIDS]) and studies wherein total joint replacements were part of the intervention were excluded. First, all abstracts were scored for inclusion criteria by JR and PL. Discrepancies were discussed until consensus was reached, after which all selected full-text articles were screened on the inclusion criteria. Again, discrepancies were discussed until consensus was reached. Data of study and intervention characteristics and of pre- and post-intervention measurements of any reported physiological factors were extracted from the eligible studies. All physical exercise regimes of all eligible studies were categorized into strength training, flexibility training, aerobic training and performance training, with multiple categories per exercise intervention possible¹⁵. All reported physiological factors were divided in to those with a significant increase, significant decrease or no significant change from pre-to post-intervention. Of those studies not reporting on the significance of the change in a physiological factor from pre-to post-intervention, but with pre- and post-intervention data given, significance was calculated using an independent sample *t*-test. Based on the available physiological factors within the eligible studies, categories of possible mediators were formed. For each category, the percentage of studies showing a significant change from pre-to post-intervention was calculated. Single intervention groups showing both a significant and a non-significant change from pre-to post-intervention within a category of possible mediators were labelled as providing 'inconclusive' evidence. As sensitivity analysis within the most measured categories of possible mediators, these percentages were recalculated when ignoring the studies not reporting a significant change in pain or function from pre-to post-intervention.

Results

The search strategy resulted in 1631 abstracts, of which 292 were selected. Screening the inclusion criteria in the full-text

manuscripts of these selected studies resulted in 89 eligible studies. Checking all references of the indicated systematic reviews and the eligible studies, resulted in 12 additional eligible studies. In 7 of the 101 studies, we were unable to extract data on the measured physiological factor, so these were excluded^{16–22}. The 94 remaining studies evaluated a total of 112 intervention groups. Study and intervention characteristics of all 94 included studies are given in Table I. Subjects with solely knee OA were studied in 96 of the 112 intervention groups. Five intervention groups studied subjects with hip OA, five with subjects with hip or knee OA, one with subjects with hip and knee OA, one with subjects with lower limb OA, two with subjects with hand OA, one with subjects with ankle OA, and one with subjects with OA in multiple joints. Strength training was the most common form of physical exercise (40 intervention groups with solely strength training and 59 in combination with another form of physical exercise). Flexibility was trained in 44 intervention groups, all in combination with another form of physical exercise. Aerobic training was studied in 25 intervention groups (6 intervention groups with solely aerobic training) and performance training in 13 intervention groups (4 intervention groups with solely performance training).

Based on the measured physiological factors within the included studies, 12 categories of possible mediators were formed. All categories and the physiological factors within each category are listed in Table II. An overview of the change in these categories of possible mediators amongst the included studies is given in Table III. Given the predominance of studies with solely knee OA subjects (84%), only these studies are presented here. Appendix Tables I–III present these data for studies on hip OA, hip or knee OA, and hand OA subjects, respectively. Amongst subjects with knee OA, muscle strength and ROM/flexibility were the most measured categories of possible mediators with 61 and 21 intervention groups measuring one or more physiological factors within these categories, respectively. Other categories of possible mediators were measured in fewer intervention groups, ranging from 15 intervention groups for the biomechanics category to only two for the aerobic capacity category (see Table III). In 30 out of the 61 intervention groups (49%), a significant effect on the factors included in the muscle strength category was found (Table III). This number increased to 56% (29 out of 52) when ignoring the studies not showing an effect on pain or function in the sensitivity analysis. In 6 out of the 21 intervention groups (29%), a significant effect was found on the factors included in the ROM/flexibility category (Table III). This number increased to 32% (6 out of 19 intervention groups) in the sensitivity analysis.

Discussion

The present systematic review identified a high number of studies that evaluated a physical exercise regime among OA patients, which additionally have measured one or more physiological factors that might be influenced by the physical exercise regime, and hence, might be mediators in the association between physical exercise and symptomatic relief in OA patients. Despite the availability of this high number of trials, still the association between physical exercise and improvement of pain and function in OA is a black-box phenomenon.

By far, muscle strength was the most measured category of possible mediators among the included studies. Among subjects with knee OA, almost half of all intervention groups (30 out of 61) that evaluated the effect of their physical exercise regime on factors divided into the muscle strength category showed a significant change from pre-to post-intervention. This percentage increased to 56% (29 out of 52) when studies not showing a significant effect on pain or function were ignored. Separating these studies on the

Table I
Characteristics of included studies

| Authors | N* | Sex (% females) | Age mean ± sd | BMI mean ± sd | OA joint(s) | Physical exercise program | Duration |
|---|----|--------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Akyol et al. (2010) ²³ | 20 | 100% | 56.6 ± 8.1 | 30.4 ± 3.3 | Knee | Isokinetic strengthening exercise program† | Three times per week for 4 weeks |
| An et al. (2008) ²⁴ | 14 | 100% | 65.4 ± 8.2 | 25.7 ± 2.9 | Knee | Baduanjin intervention‡,¶ | Five times per week for 8 weeks |
| An et al. (2013) ²⁵ | 22 | 86% | 65.2 ± 7.3 | 25.0 ± 2.9 | Knee | Baduanjin intervention‡,¶ | Five times per week for 52 weeks |
| Andersson et al. (2006) ²⁶ | 29 | 48% | 55 | 28.7 | Knee | Lower limb strength, postural control and endurance training†,§, | Twice per week for 6 weeks plus daily home exercises |
| Armagan et al. (2012) ²⁷ | 20 | 100% | 59.9 ± 6.67 | 31.14 ± 19.1 | Knee | Lower limb strength and flexibility training†,¶ | Daily during 6 months |
| Baker et al. (2001) ²⁸ | 23 | 74% | 69 ± 6 | 31 ± 4 | Knee | Lower limb strength training† | Three times per week for 16 weeks |
| Bautch et al. (2000) ²⁹ | 10 | 70% | 66.3 ± 6.0 | 30.8 ± 4.1 | Knee | Quadriceps strength and walking exercises†, | Three times per week for 12 weeks |
| Beaupre et al. (2004) ³⁰ | 65 | 60% | 67 ± 7 | 62 ± 6 | Knee | Strength and flexibility training†,¶ | Three times per week for 4 weeks |
| Bellometti et al. (2002) ³¹ | 20 | 100% | n/a | n/a | Hip and knee | n/a | Four months |
| Bennell et al. (2010) ³² | 45 | 51% | 64.5 ± 9.1 | 27.5 ± 4.7 | Knee | Hip strengthening exercise program† | Five times per week for 12 weeks |
| Börjesson and Robertson (1996) ³³ | 34 | 50% | 64 ± 4 | 28.4 | Knee | Lower limb strength and flexibility training†,¶ | Three times per week for 5 weeks |
| Brismée et al. (2007) ³⁴ | 22 | 86% | 70.8 ± 9.8 | 28.0 ± 5.9 | Knee | Tai Chi exercise program†,¶ | Three times per week for 12 weeks |
| Bruce-Brand et al. (2012) ³⁵ | 10 | 40% | 63.4 ± 5.9 | 33.9 ± 8.3 | Knee | Home-based resistance training† | Three times per week for 6 weeks |
| Callaghan et al. (1995) ³⁶ | 10 | 20% | 49 | n/a | Knee | Lower limb strength training† | Two times per week |
| Cetin et al. (2008) ³⁷ | 20 | 100% | 58.9 ± 9.1 | 27.4 ± 4.2 | Knee | Lower limb strength training† | Three times per week for 8 weeks |
| Chaipinyo and Karoonsupcharoen (2009) ³⁸ | 42 | 74% | 66 | 25 | Knee | Balance training and lower limb strength training group†,§ | Five times per week for 4 weeks |
| Cheing and Hui-Chan (2004) ³⁹ | 15 | 87% | 60.9 ± 9.3 | 29.6 ± 4.3 | Knee | Lower limb strength training† | Five times per week for 4 weeks |
| Chua et al. (2008) ⁴⁰ | 46 | 68% | 68.7 ± 6.1 | 33.7 ± 6.1 | Knee | Aerobic and resistance training†, | Three times per week for 78 weeks |
| Diracoglu et al. (2005) ⁴¹ | 30 | 100% | n/a | n/a | Knee | Lower limb kinaesthesia, balance and strength training†,§ | Three times per week for 8 weeks |
| Diracoglu et al. (2005) ⁴¹ | 30 | 100% | n/a | n/a | Knee | Lower limb strength training† | Three times per week for 8 weeks |
| Durmus et al. (2007) ⁴² | 25 | 100% | 54.7 ± 1.8 | 32.8 ± 0.9 | Knee | Isometric Quadriceps training† | Five times per week for 4 weeks |
| Durmus et al. (2012) ⁴³ | 20 | 100% | 57.1 ± 1.3 | 28.6 ± 0.8 | Knee | Lower limb strength and flexibility training†,¶ | Three times per week for 12 weeks |
| Durmus et al. (2013) ⁴⁴ | 19 | 100% | 56.9 ± 6.0 | 28.4 ± 3.5 | Knee | Isometric and isotonic muscle strengthening† | Three times per week for 12 weeks |
| Elboim-Gabyzon et al. (2013) ⁴⁵ | 25 | 84% | 69.4 ± 7.7 | 30.5 ± 5.3 | Knee | Lower limb strength and flexibility training†,¶ | Two times per week for 6 weeks |
| Eyigor (2004) ⁴⁶ | 21 | 91% | 53.1 ± 6.7 | 29.6 ± 4.2 | Knee | Lower limb strength training† | Three times per week for 6 weeks |
| Eyigor (2004) ⁴⁶ | 18 | 78% | 51.9 ± 8.1 | 28.3 ± 3.9 | Knee | Lower limb strength training† | Five times per week for 6 weeks |
| Fisher et al. (1993) ⁴⁷ | 48 | 52% | 63.6 | 28.8 | Knee | Lower limb strength and flexibility training†,¶ | Three times per week for 13 weeks |
| Fitzgerald et al. (2011) ⁴⁸ | 92 | 67% | 64.6 ± 8.4 | 30.2 ± 6.1 | Knee | Lower limb strength and flexibility training and a walking program†, ,¶ | 26 weeks in total |
| Fitzgerald et al. (2011) ⁴⁸ | 91 | 66% | 63.3 ± 8.9 | 30.8 ± 6.8 | Knee | Lower limb agility and perturbation training and a walking program†, ,¶ | 26 weeks in total |
| Foroughi et al. (2011) ⁴⁹ | 20 | 100% | 66 ± 8 | 31.4 ± 5.4 | Knee | Lower limb high-intensity resistance training† | Three times per week for 26 weeks |
| Foroughi et al. (2011) ⁵⁰ | 18 | 100% | 64 ± 7 | 31.9 ± 5.2 | Knee | Lower limb high-intensity resistance training† | Three times per week for 26 weeks |
| Fransen et al. (2001) ⁵¹ | 83 | 76% | 67.0 | 30.0 | Knee | Lower limb strength and flexibility training†,¶ | Two times per week for 8 weeks |
| French et al. (2013) ⁵² | 66 | 67% | 62.4 ± 9.1 | n/a | Hip | Lower limb strength and flexibility training†,¶ | Six to eight sessions over 8 weeks |
| Ghroubi et al. (2008) ⁵³ | 13 | n/a | 39.8 ± 9.1 | 37.1 ± 5.7 | Knee | Lower limb strength and aerobic training†, | Three times per week for 8 weeks |
| Goryachev et al. (2011) ⁵⁴ | 14 | 100% | 59.9 ± 6.2 | 30.0 | Knee | Walking program using modified shoes§ | Daily during 3 months |
| Haim et al. (2012) ⁵⁵ | 25 | 100% | 62 ± 7 | 30.5 | Knee | Walking program using modified shoes§ | Daily during 3 months |
| Helmark et al. (2010) ⁵⁶ | 16 | 100% | 66 ± 6 | 26.4 ± 2.8 | Knee | Knee extension resistance protocol† | Single work-out |
| Hinman et al. (2007) ⁵⁷ | 36 | 67% | 63.3 ± 9.5 | 33.8 ± 8.5 | Hip or knee | Aquatic exercise protocol†,§ | Twice times per week for 6 weeks |

(continued on next page)

Table I (continued)

| Authors | N* | Sex (% females) | Age mean \pm sd | BMI mean \pm sd | OA joint(s) | Physical exercise program | Duration |
|---|-----|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Hoeksma et al. (2004) ⁵⁸ | 53 | 72% | 71 \pm 6 | n/a | Hip | Lower limb strength and flexibility training ^{†,¶} | Twice times per week for 5 weeks |
| Hunt et al. (2013) ⁵⁹ | 10 | 70% | 58.9 \pm 4.0 | 28.2 \pm 5.1 | Knee | Lower limb strengthening and walking intervention ^{†,} | Three times per week for 10 weeks |
| Hurley and Scott (1998) ⁶⁰ | 60 | 70% | 61 | 28.2 | Knee | Lower limb strength and flexibility training ^{†,¶} | Two times per week for 5 weeks |
| Jan et al. (2008) ⁶¹ | 34 | 79% | 63.3 \pm 6.6 | 24.1 | Knee | Lower limb high-intensity resistance training [†] | Three times per week for 8 weeks |
| Jan et al. (2008) ⁶¹ | 34 | 79% | 61.8 \pm 7.1 | 24.0 | Knee | Lower limb low-intensity resistance training [†] | Three times per week for 8 weeks |
| Jan et al. (2009) ⁶² | 36 | 67% | 62.0 \pm 6.7 | 24.6 | Knee | Weight-bearing knee extension resistance training [†] | Three times per week for 8 weeks |
| Jan et al. (2009) ⁶² | 35 | 71% | 63.2 \pm 6.8 | 25.1 | Knee | Non-weight-bearing knee extension resistance training [†] | Three times per week for 8 weeks |
| Jigami et al. (2012) ⁶³ | 15 | 100% | 60.8 \pm 8.8 | 22.6 \pm 5.0 | Hip | Land-based and aquatic lower limb strength and flexibility training ^{†,¶} | Ten sessions fortnightly |
| Jigami et al. (2012) ⁶³ | 14 | 100% | 65.6 \pm 7.8 | 23.8 \pm 5.5 | Hip | Land-based and aquatic lower limb strength and flexibility training ^{†,¶} | Ten weekly sessions |
| Juhakoski et al. (2011) ⁶⁴ | 60 | 68% | 66.9 \pm 6.3 | n/a | Hip | Lower limb strength and flexibility training ^{†,¶} | Three times per week for 2 years |
| Karatosun et al. (2006) ⁶⁵ | 53 | 87% | 55.3 \pm 13.6 | 28.3 \pm 4.9 | Knee | Home-based lower limb strength and flexibility training ^{†,¶} | Six weeks |
| Karatosun et al. (2008) ⁶⁶ | 15 | 80% | 58.1 \pm 12.1 | 27.7 | Ankle | Lower limb strength and flexibility training ^{†,¶} | n/a |
| Kawasaki et al. (2008) ⁶⁷ | 42 | 100% | 69.5 \pm 7.1 | 40.0 \pm 3.0 | Knee | Home-based lower limb strength and flexibility training ^{†,¶} | 18 months |
| Kawasaki et al. (2009) ⁶⁸ | 45 | 100% | 71.2 \pm 7.1 | 24.6 \pm 3.0 | Knee | Home-based lower limb strength and flexibility training ^{†,¶} | 24 weeks |
| Kim et al. (2012) ⁶⁹ | 35 | 100% | n/a | n/a | Multiple joints [†] | Aquarobic exercise program ^{†, ,¶} | Three times per week for 12 weeks |
| King et al. (2008) ⁷⁰ | 14 | 14% | 48.4 \pm 6.5 | 29.3 \pm 3.3 | Knee | Lower limb strength and flexibility training ^{†,¶} | Three times per week for 12 weeks |
| Kreindler et al. (1989) ⁷¹ | 10 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Knee | Lower limb strength training [†] | Three times per week for 6 weeks |
| Kuptniratsaikul et al. (2002) ⁷² | 199 | 79% | 67.9 \pm 5.7 | n/a | Knee | Quadriceps strength training [†] | Two times per week for 8 weeks |
| Lim et al. (2008) ⁷³ | 53 | 57% | 65.6 | 28.6 | Knee | Quadriceps strength training [†] | Five times per week for 12 weeks |
| Lim et al. (2010) ⁷⁴ | 24 | 88% | 65.7 \pm 8.9 | 27.9 \pm 1.5 | Knee | Aquatic exercise protocol ^{†,} | Three times per week for 8 weeks |
| Lim et al. (2010) ⁷⁴ | 22 | 84% | 67.7 \pm 7.7 | 27.6 \pm 1.7 | Knee | Lower limb strength and flexibility training ^{†,¶} | Three times per week for 8 weeks |
| Lin et al. (2007) ⁷⁵ | 29 | 69% | 61.6 \pm 8.1 | 24.1 | Knee | Lower limb proprioception training [§] | Three times per week for 8 weeks |
| Lin et al. (2007) ⁷⁵ | 26 | 81% | 61.0 \pm 7.7 | 24.2 | Knee | Lower limb strength training [†] | Three times per week for 8 weeks |
| Lin et al. (2009) ⁷⁶ | 36 | 69% | 63.7 \pm 8.2 | 23.9 | Knee | Lower limb proprioception training [§] | Three times per week for 8 weeks |
| Lin et al. (2009) ⁷⁶ | 36 | 67% | 61.6 \pm 7.2 | 23.7 | Knee | Quadriceps strength training [†] | Three times per week for 8 weeks |
| Mangione et al. (1999) ⁷⁷ | 19 | 74% | 71.1 \pm 7.7 | 29.6 \pm 5.2 | Knee | High-intensity cycling program | Three times per week for 10 weeks |
| Mangione et al. (1999) ⁷⁷ | 20 | 60% | 71.0 \pm 6.2 | 29.1 \pm 5.1 | Knee | Low-intensity cycling program | Three times per week for 10 weeks |
| Mascarin et al. (2012) ⁷⁸ | 16 | 100% | 59.6 \pm 7.2 | 29.7 | Knee | Lower limb strength and flexibility training ^{†,¶} | Twice per week for 12 weeks |
| McCarthy et al. (2004) ⁷⁹ | 103 | 60% | 64.9 \pm 9.7 | 30.2 \pm 5.3 | Knee | Home-based lower limb strength and flexibility training ^{†,¶} | 12 months |
| McCarthy et al. (2004) ⁷⁹ | 111 | 57% | 64.5 \pm 9.9 | 29.4 \pm 5.2 | Knee | Home-based lower limb strength and flexibility training ^{†,¶} | 12 months with 8 weekly group lessons |
| McKay et al. (2012) ⁸⁰ | 10 | 50% | 63.5 \pm 4.9 | 35.0 \pm 6.1 | Knee | Lower limb strength training [†] | Three times per week for 6 weeks |
| McKay et al. (2012) ⁸⁰ | 12 | 67% | 60.0 \pm 8.1 | 33.8 \pm 7.1 | Knee | Upper body strength training [†] | Three times per week for 6 weeks |
| McQuade and Oliveira (2011) ⁸¹ | 18 | 76% | 55.8 \pm 5.8 | 30.4 \pm 8.5 | Knee | Lower limb strength training [†] | Three times per week for 8 weeks |
| Messier et al. (1997) ⁸² | 33 | 82% | 70.3 \pm 1.3 | 31.4 \pm 1.0 | Knee | Aerobic walking training | Three times per week for 18 months |
| Messier et al. (1997) ⁸² | 34 | 68% | 67.2 \pm 0.9 | 30.1 \pm 0.9 | Knee | Whole body strength training [†] | Three times per week for 18 months |
| Messier et al. (2000) ⁸³ | 11 | 64% | 69 \pm 5 | 38 \pm 6 | Knee | Aerobic walking and lower limb strengthening program ^{†,} | Three times per week for 26 weeks |
| Messier et al. (2004) ⁸⁴ | 80 | 74% | 69 \pm 0.8 | 34.2 \pm 0.6 | Knee | Strength training and aerobic training ^{†,¶} | Three times per week for 18 months |
| Messier et al. (2013) ⁸⁵ | 150 | 72% | 66 \pm 6 | 33.6 \pm 3.7 | Knee | Strength training and aerobic training ^{†,¶} | Three times per week for 18 months |

Table 1 (continued)

| Authors | N* | Sex (% females) | Age mean ± sd | BMI mean ± sd | OA joint(s) | Physical exercise program | Duration |
|---|-----|--------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|--|---|
| Miller et al. (2004) ⁸⁶ | 79 | 76% | 69.1 ± 6.5 | 34.2 ± 4.8 | Knee | Aerobic walking and lower limb strengthening program ^{‡,} | Three times per week for 26 weeks |
| Miltner et al. (1997) ⁸⁷ | 15 | 53% | 69.8 | n/a | Knee | Iokinetic strength training [‡] | Single work-out |
| Ni et al. (2010) ⁸⁸ | 18 | 100% | n/a | 26.4 ± 1.33 | Knee | Tai Chi exercise program ^{‡,} | Two to four times per week for 24 weeks |
| Nicklas et al. (2004) ⁸⁹ | 67 | 74% | 69 ± 6 | 34.6 ± 5.8 | Knee | Lower limb strength and aerobic training ^{‡,} | Three times per week for 18 months |
| Peloquin et al. (1999) ⁹⁰ | 59 | 71% | 65.6 ± 7.4 | 29.8 ± 4.5 | Knee | Lower limb strength and flexibility and aerobic training ^{‡, ,¶} | Three times per week for 12 weeks |
| Petersen et al. (2010) ⁹¹ | 12 | 58% | 63.1 ± 4.7 | 28.3 ± 3.2 | Knee | Quadriceps strength training [‡] | Three times per week for 12 weeks |
| Petersen et al. (2011) ⁹² | 11 | 45% | 61 ± 1 | 29.2 ± 1.1 | Knee | Quadriceps strength training [‡] | Single work-out |
| Quilty et al. (2003) ⁹³ | 43 | n/a | 66.8 ± 9.5 | 30.2 ± 5.2 | Knee | Lower limb strength and balance training ^{‡,§} | Once per week during 10 weeks |
| Quirk et al. (1985) ⁹⁴ | 17 | 79% | 62.8 ± 2.9 | n/a | Knee | Lower limb strength and flexibility training ^{‡,} | Daily for 4 weeks |
| Rogers and Wilder (2007) ⁹⁵ | 55 | 80% | 71.5 ± 6.5 | 27.7 ± 4.8 | Hand | Whole body strength training [‡] | Three times per week for 2 years |
| Rogers and Wilder (2009) ⁹⁶ | 46 | 87% | 75 ± 6.7 | 27.3 | Hand | Hand strength and flexibility training ^{‡,} | Daily for 16 weeks |
| Rogind et al. (1998) ⁹⁷ | 11 | 91% | 69.3 ± 8.2 | 27.4 ± 4.0 | Knee | Whole body strength, flexibility and balance training ^{‡,§,¶} | Twice per week for 13 weeks |
| Roper et al. (2013) ⁹⁸ | 14 | 86% | 58.7 ± 7.6 | 34.3 ± 8.4 | Knee | Aquatic treadmill exercise | Single work-out |
| Roper et al. (2013) ⁹⁸ | 14 | 86% | 58.7 ± 7.6 | 34.3 ± 8.4 | Knee | Land-based treadmill exercise | Single work-out |
| Rosa et al. (2012) ⁹⁹ | 33 | 97% | 59.0 | 28.0 | Knee | Lower limb isokinetic strength exercises [‡] | Every third day during 8 weeks |
| Rosa et al. (2012) ⁹⁹ | 33 | 91% | 58.1 | 28.6 | Knee | Lower limb isometric strength exercises [‡] | Every third day during 8 weeks |
| Rosemffet et al. (2004) ¹⁰⁰ | 10 | n/a | n/a | 29.3 | Knee | Lower limb strength and flexibility and aerobic training ^{‡, ,¶} | Two times per week for 8 weeks |
| Salacinski et al. (2012) ¹⁰¹ | 13 | 77% | 53.4 ± 11.2 | 21.8 ± 3.1 | Knee | Group cycling classes (spinning) | Twice per week for 12 weeks |
| Salli et al. (2010) ¹⁰² | 23 | 83% | 55.7 ± 8.2 | 31.5 ± 4.4 | Knee | Lower limb concentric and eccentric strength training [‡] | Three times per week for 8 weeks |
| Salli et al. (2010) ¹⁰² | 24 | 83% | 57.1 ± 6.8 | 32.7 ± 4.3 | Knee | Lower limb isometric strength training [‡] | Three times per week for 8 weeks |
| Sayers et al. (2012) ¹⁰³ | 10 | 80% | 65.9 ± 8.3 | 33.1 ± 8.9 | Knee | Lower limb slow-speed strength training [‡] | Three times per week for 12 weeks |
| Sayers et al. (2012) ¹⁰³ | 12 | 75% | 66.9 ± 4.9 | 28.4 ± 5.7 | Knee | Lower limb high-speed strength training [‡] | Three times per week for 12 weeks |
| Schencking et al. (2013) ¹⁰⁴ | 10 | 70% | 72.0 ± 9.4 | 31.0 ± 4.8 | Hip or knee | Joint related strength and flexibility training ^{‡,¶} | Three times per week for 2 weeks |
| Sen et al. (2004) ¹⁰⁵ | 96 | 82% | 56.5 | 27.7 | Knee | Lower limb strength and proprioceptive training ^{‡,§} | For 6 months |
| Shakoor et al. (2008) ¹⁰⁶ | 38 | 74% | 61 ± 10 | 32.4 ± 6.6 | Knee | Home-based Quadriceps strengthening training [‡] | Five days per week for 8 weeks |
| Sled et al. (2010) ¹⁰⁷ | 40 | 58% | 63.0 ± 9.7 | 27.4 ± 5.5 | Knee | Home-based hip abductor strengthening training [‡] | Three to 4 days per week for 8 weeks |
| Song et al. (2003) ¹⁰⁸ | 22 | 100% | 64.8 ± 6.0 | 24.9 ± 2.6 | Knee | Tai Chi exercise program ^{‡,} | Three days per week for 12 weeks |
| Song et al. (2010) ¹⁰⁹ | 30 | 100% | 63.0 ± 7.3 | n/a | Knee | Tai Chi exercise program ^{‡,} | Daily for 26 weeks |
| Talbot et al. (2003) ¹¹⁰ | 17 | 77% | 69.6 ± 6.7 | 31.0 ± 5.9 | Knee | Walking program | Daily for 12 weeks |
| Veenhof et al. (2006) ¹¹¹ | 97 | 75% | 65.1 ± 7.4 | 28.2 ± 4.2 | Hip or knee | Behaviour graded activity program ^{‡,§,¶} | Max.18 sessions during 12 weeks with 5 booster sessions |
| Veenhof et al. (2007) ¹¹² | 103 | 79% | 64.5 ± 8.3 | 28.8 ± 4.6 | Hip or knee | Exercise therapy ^{‡,§,¶} | Max.18 sessions during 12 weeks with 5 booster sessions |
| Wang et al. (2007) ¹¹³ | 20 | 80% | 69.3 ± 13.3 | n/a | Hip or knee | Aquatic strength and flexibility training ^{‡,¶} | Three days per week for 12 weeks |
| Wang et al. (2009) ¹¹⁴ | 20 | 80% | 63 ± 8.1 | 30.0 ± 5.2 | Knee | Tai Chi exercise program ^{‡,} | Twice per week for 48 weeks |
| Wang et al. (2011) ¹¹⁵ | 26 | 85% | 66.7 ± 5.6 | 26.6 ± 2.5 | Knee | Aquatic exercise protocol ^{,¶} | Three times per week for 12 weeks |
| Wang et al. (2011) ¹¹⁵ | 26 | 89% | 68.3 ± 6.4 | 25.4 ± 2.4 | Knee | Whole body flexibility, strength and aerobic training ^{‡, ,¶} | Three times per week for 12 weeks |
| Yokochi et al. (2012) ¹¹⁶ | 61 | 93% | 68.3 ± 9.6 | 29.8 ± 3.7 | Lower limb | Lower limb strength and flexibility training ^{‡,} | One to three times per week over an average of 4.7 months |

* N in included exercise group.

† Finger, wrist, elbow, shoulder, toe, ankle, knee, neck, jaw, hip and waist joint.

‡ Strength training category.

§ performance training category.

|| aerobic training category.

¶ flexibility training category.

Table II

Categories of possible mediators and included physiological factors

| Category | Included physiological factors |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Inflammation | serum NO, IL1- β , MMP-3, IL-10, IL-6, IL-6sR, IL-8, TNF- α , TNFR1, TNFR2, CRP, effusion, heat, swelling, knee girth |
| Cartilage/OA properties | CTX-II, cartilage defects on MRI, cartilage volume and thickness, COMP, 3B3, 7D4, GAG, 3B3/GAG, 7D4/GAG, keratin sulphate, hydroxyproline, joint space width, hyaluron, aggrecan, NTX, intra-articular oxygen pressure, crepitus |
| Muscle strength | cross-sectional area, peak torque, muscle strength, leg press, flex/ext moment, muscle endurance, total work, 1 repetition maximum, muscle endurance |
| Muscle properties | peak activity, activity duration, average activity, muscle length, thigh volume, EMG, sarcoplasmatic and myofibrillar fractional synthesis rate |
| ROM/flexibility | ROM, flexion deformities |
| Gait properties | step length, cadence, stride time, step width, step frequency, stride length, stance time, percentage swing |
| Biomechanics | adduction moment, adduction impulse, joint angles, angular velocity, braking, propulsive, medial and lateral ground reaction force, toe-out angle |
| Body weight and metabolic syndrome | body weight, cholesterol, triglycerides, BMI, waist circumference, blood pressure, HbA1c, heart rate, % body fat, lean body mass, waist-hip ratio, fat mass, Leptin concentration, cardiovascular functioning |
| Bone properties | GLA protein, alkaline phosphatase, parathyroid hormone, BMD |
| Proprioception | proprioception, proprioception error, joint position sense |
| (In)stability/balance | subjective instability, anterior-posterior balance score, medial-lateral balance score, posturography |
| Aerobic capacity | aerobic capacity, peak oxygen consumption, aerobic fitness |

effects on knee extensor and knee flexor muscles resulted in 60% (31 out of 52) and 71% (22 out of 31) of studies showing a significant change from pre-to post-intervention, respectively. It seems that an increase in muscle strength is a highly potential mediator in the association between physical exercise and symptomatic relief in OA patients. Unfortunately, only two of these studies^{51,106} actually tried to evaluate the association between the change in muscle strength and the change in pain or function. Fransen *et al.*⁵¹ showed that changes in isometric Quadriceps strength from pre-to post-intervention correlated to changes in both self-reported pain ($r = 0.42$, $P < 0.01$) and function ($r = 0.38$, $P < 0.01$). Also Shakoor *et al.*¹⁰⁶ showed a significant correlation between change in Quadriceps strength and change in WOMAC pain scores ($r = 0.45$, $P = 0.005$). Although both analyses are not proper mediation analyses and are not adjusted for potential confounders¹¹⁷, the results suggest a truly mediating effect of Quadriceps strength in the association between physical exercise and symptomatic relief in OA patients. This is supported by the secondary analysis of a large randomized controlled trial on the effects of exercise therapy among 154 knee OA patients, where the improvement of upper leg muscle strength was significantly associated with improvements in both pain and function scores after the 38 week study period¹¹⁷. There are several potential mechanisms identified in the literature through which increased muscle strength might influence OA symptoms. These include increased cartilage quality through joint loading, reduced (over)loading of the cartilage by improved biomechanics, increased shock-absorbing and improved joint stability¹¹⁸. However, for all these mechanisms there is only limited evidence available for a direct effect on pain and/or function in OA patients.

The knee range of motion (ROM)/flexibility was the second most measured category. Comparing all intervention groups within this category, only 29% (6 out of 21) showed a significant change from

pre-to post-intervention. When ignoring the studies without a significant effect on OA symptoms, this increases to 32% (6 out of 19). Although this suggests that ROM/flexibility might not be a possible mediator, there seems to be an important difference in how the different studies defined their measurement of ROM/flexibility. Among studies measuring the total knee ROM from maximal flexion to maximal extension, 75% (9 out of 12) did not find a significant change from pre-to post-intervention. In studies measuring the flexion impairments as a measure of ROM/flexibility, 3 out of 7 studies (43%) did find a significant increase. Interestingly, all 5 studies measuring extension impairments as a measure of ROM/flexibility found a significant change from pre-to post-intervention. This suggests that a decrease of the extension impairments, as a result of physical exercise, might account for part of the improvement seen in OA pain and function. A change in ROM/flexibility as being a potential working mechanism for the positive effect of exercise on pain and/or function in OA patients has not been discussed thoroughly in the literature. For instance, none of the studies included in this category in the present study have evaluated the association between a change in ROM/flexibility and the observed change in pain or function. The only study identified before to suggested a change in ROM/flexibility as a potential working mechanism did not provide any reasoning¹¹⁹.

Other categories of possible mediators defined were measured in too few studies to further separate the studies, as done for the muscle strength and ROM/flexibility categories. Nevertheless, it is worth mentioning that studies evaluating the effect of their physical exercise regime on physical factors incorporated into the cartilage/OA properties and into the bone properties categories found no significant changes in 83% (10 out of 12) and 100% (3 out of 3) of the intervention groups, respectively. On the other hand, 10 out of 12 studies (83%) measuring proprioception did find a

Table III

Number of intervention groups and percentage showing significant, non-significant or inconclusive change per category of possible mediators for subjects with knee OA

| | Inflammation | Gait properties | Muscle strength | Muscle properties | Cartilage/OA properties | ROM/ flexibility | Biomechanics | Body weight Metabolic syndrome | Bone properties | Proprioception | (In)stability/ balance | Aerobic capacity |
|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------------|------------------|
| N | 9 | 10 | 61 | 4 | 12 | 21 | 15 | 13 | 3 | 12 | 6 | 2 |
| Significant change* | 22% | 10% | 49% | 25% | 0% | 29% | 7% | 31% | 0% | 83% | 17% | 0% |
| No change* | 78% | 20% | 30% | 0% | 83% | 48% | 47% | 54% | 100% | 17% | 67% | 0% |
| Inconclusive† | 0% | 70% | 21% | 75% | 17% | 24% | 47% | 15% | 0% | 0% | 17% | 100% |

OA; ROM.

* From pre-to post-intervention.

† Intervention groups showing both significant and non-significant changes from pre-to post-intervention for given category.

significant change from pre-to post-intervention. This suggests cartilage/OA and bone properties to not be a possible mediator and proprioception to be a possible mediator in the association between physical exercise and the change in pain and function in OA subjects.

Despite the fact that six out of the 94 included studies did evaluate the correlation between the change in the measured physical factor and the change in pain/function^{40,49–51,83,106} from pre-to post-intervention, we were unable to identify any study performing a proper mediation analyses on these outcomes. Although scarce, examples of proper mediation analyses in other disorders have been performed. For example, significant mediator effects of muscle performance variables on pain-related outcomes after strengthening exercises have been proven amongst lumbar microdiscectomy patients and chronic spinal cord injury patients with shoulder pain¹³. Also the mediating effect of cardiovascular biomarkers, such as blood cholesterol and glucose levels, in the inverse association between physical activity and the risk for myocardial infarction have been studied¹²⁰. To study the possible mediating effect of the factors discussed in the present study, individual patient data of the included studies should be combined in order to perform proper mediation analyses. Only then, the black-box phenomenon of the association between physical exercise and symptomatic relief in OA patients can partly be unravelled.

Previously, Beckwée and co-workers undertook a different approach to identify potential working mechanisms behind the effect of exercise on pain and function in OA patients¹¹⁸. By qualitatively screening the introduction or discussion sections of all studies cited in Cochrane reviews and guidelines on exercise treatment for OA patients, potential underlying mechanisms were identified. Factors incorporated into their neuromuscular, periarticular, intra-articular and general fitness and health components are all represented in either of the potential mediator categories of the present study. Contrary to the study of Beckwée *et al.*¹¹⁸ were only possible working mechanisms were identified, the present study additionally aimed to provide some evidence for the probability of the potential mediators to truly be a working mechanisms behind the effect of exercise on OA symptoms. Nevertheless, as the present systematic review focussed on physiological factors, Beckwée and co-workers did identify additional potential mediators by also looking at psychosocial components. Potentially, next to factors identified in the present study, the effect of exercise on OA symptoms could also be mediated by increased well-being that is thought to influence pain perception, by increased self-efficacy which influences perceived disability, and by decreased depression symptoms that influences both self-perceived pain and disability¹¹⁸.

This study has some limitations. First, although there are indications for a mediator effect of an increase in upper leg strength, a decrease in extension impairments and an improvement in proprioception based on group effects, it would require proper mediation analyses on individual patient data comparing subjects in the experimental group to the control group to confirm these findings. Second, we only discussed in detail the two most measured categories of possible mediators. Of course, when looking in details to the other categories, other potential mediators might be discovered. Third, because some eligible studies did provide data on physiological factors pre- and post-intervention, we calculate the significance of this change using an independent samples *t*-test. If original data would have been available, a paired-samples *t*-test would have been appropriate for this evaluation. Performing an independent samples *t*-test instead of a paired-samples *t*-test will have kept some differences in physiological factors from pre-to post-intervention from reaching statistical significance. Forth, our approach of data presentation could potentially be affected by non-significant changes in potential mediators due to low subject

numbers in several small studies. However, by removing 32 intervention groups with less than 20 subjects from the analysis on studies on knee OA subjects, no major changes in the outcomes were found (data not shown). Finally, since the included studies were not always powered to detect changes in the physiological factors included in the present study, there is a potential for not incorporating a significant change of the physiological factor into our analysis due to a lack of power for this factor in the original study (type 2 error).

By systematically searching the literature for physiological factors influenced by physical exercise in OA patients, we have identified an increase of upper leg strength, a decrease of extension impairments and improvement in proprioception as possible mediators in the positive association between physical exercise and knee OA symptoms. By further unravelling the black-box phenomenon of physical exercise as a treatment for OA pain and function, mediators within this black-box can be identified. This knowledge can be used to optimize the content of physical exercise regimes, which will lead to a better treatment of OA patients.

Contributions

All authors contributed to the conception of the study, to the interpretation of the data, were involved in drafting and critically revising of the content of the manuscript, and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Role of the funding source

The funding source was not involved in the study design, in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data, in the writing of this manuscript, or the decision to submit this manuscript for publication.

Competing interests

All authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgement

This study was funded by a program grant of the Dutch Arthritis Foundation for their center of excellence "Osteoarthritis in primary care".

Appendix

Search strategy Embase database:

'physical activity, capacity and performance'/exp OR 'physical activity, capacity and performance' OR 'physiotherapy' OR 'physiotherapy'/exp OR physiotherapy OR 'kinesiotherapy' OR 'kinesiotherapy'/exp OR kinesiotherapy OR 'sport' OR 'sport'/exp OR sport AND ('osteoarthritis' OR 'osteoarthritis'/exp OR osteoarthritis) AND 'types of study'/exp NOT 'field study'/exp NOT 'human vs nonhuman data'/exp NOT 'in vitro study'/exp NOT 'model'/exp NOT 'observational study'/exp NOT 'panel study'/e0078p NOT 'theoretical study'/exp NOT 'trend study'/exp AND [embase]/lim.

Search strategy Pubmed database:

("clinical trial"[Publication Type] OR "clinical trials as topic"[MeSH Terms] OR "clinical trials"[All Fields] OR ("epidemiologic research design"[Mesh] NOT "genome-wide association study"[-Mesh] NOT "lost to follow-up"[Mesh] NOT "meta-analysis as topic"[Mesh] NOT "sample size"[Mesh] NOT "sensitivity and specificity"[Mesh]) OR (random*[Title/Abstract]) OR ("epidemiologic study characteristics as topic"[mesh] NOT "cohort

studies"[mesh] NOT "sampling studies"[mesh] NOT "twin studies as topic"[mesh])) AND (osteoarthritis[mesh]) AND (physical therapy modalities[mesh] OR physical exertion[mesh] OR exercise [mesh] OR sports[mesh])

Appendix Table

II Number of intervention groups and percentage showing significant, non-significant or inconclusive change per category of possible mediators for subjects with hip OA.

| | Muscle strength | ROM/flexibility |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| N | 3 | 2 |
| Significant change* | 67% | 0% |
| No change* | 33% | 50% |
| Inconclusive† | 0% | 50% |

OA; ROM.

* From pre-to post-intervention.

† Intervention groups showing both significant and non-significant changes from pre-to post-intervention for given category.

Appendix Table

III Number of intervention groups and percentage showing significant, non-significant or inconclusive change per category of possible mediators for subjects with hip or knee OA.

| | Muscle strength | ROM/flexibility | Aerobic capacity |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| N | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| Significant change* | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| No change* | 75% | 0% | 100% |
| Inconclusive† | 25% | 100% | 0% |

OA; ROM.

* From pre-to post-intervention.

† Intervention groups showing both significant and non-significant changes from pre-to post-intervention for given category.

Appendix Table

III Number of intervention groups and percentage showing significant, non-significant or inconclusive change per category of possible mediators for subjects with hand OA.

| | Muscle strength |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| N | 2 |
| Significant change* | 50% |
| No change* | 0% |
| Inconclusive† | 50% |

OA.

* From pre-to post-intervention.

† Intervention groups showing both significant and non-significant changes from pre-to post-intervention for given category.

References

- Zhang Y, Jordan JM. Epidemiology of osteoarthritis. Clin Geriatr Med 2010;26:355–69.
- Lawrence RC, Felson DT, Helmick CG, Arnold LM, Choi H, Deyo RA, et al. Estimates of the prevalence of arthritis and other rheumatic conditions in the United States. Part II. Arthritis Rheum 2008;58:26–35.
- McAlindon TE, Bannuru RR, Sullivan MC, Arden NK, Berenbaum F, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee osteoarthritis. Osteoarthr Cartil 2014;22:363–88.
- Fransen M, McConnell S. Exercise for osteoarthritis of the knee. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2008;CD004376.
- Fransen M, McConnell S, Hernandez-Molina G, Reichenbach S. Exercise for osteoarthritis of the hip. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014;4:CD007912.
- Juhl C, Christensen R, Roos EM, Zhang W, Lund H. Impact of exercise type and dose on pain and disability in knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-regression analysis of randomized controlled trials. Arthritis Rheumatol 2014;66:622–36.
- Uthman OA, van der Windt DA, Jordan JL, Dziedzic KS, Healey EL, Peat GM, et al. Exercise for lower limb osteoarthritis: systematic review incorporating trial sequential analysis and network meta-analysis. BMJ 2013;347:f5555.
- Arden NK, Leyland KM. Osteoarthritis year 2013 in review: clinical. Osteoarthr Cartil 2013;21:1409–13.
- van der Esch M, Knoop J, Hunter DJ, Klein JP, van der Leeden M, Knol DL, et al. The association between reduced knee joint proprioception and medial meniscal abnormalities using MRI in knee osteoarthritis: results from the Amsterdam osteoarthritis cohort. Osteoarthr Cartil 2013;21:676–81.
- Febbraio MA, Pedersen BK. Muscle-derived interleukin-6: mechanisms for activation and possible biological roles. FASEB J 2002;16:1335–47.
- Knoop J, Dekker J, van der Leeden M, van der Esch M, Thorstensson CA, Gerritsen M, et al. Knee joint stabilization therapy in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee: a randomized, controlled trial. Osteoarthr Cartil 2013;21:1025–34.
- Baron RM, Kenny DA. The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. J Pers Soc Psychol 1986;51:1173–82.
- Mulroy SJ, Winstein CJ, Kulig K, Beneck GJ, Fowler EG, DeMuth SK, et al. Secondary mediation and regression analyses of the PTClinResNet database: determining causal relationships among the International classification of functioning, disability and health levels for four physical therapy intervention trials. Phys Ther 2011;91:1766–79.
- Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gotzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. J Clin Epidemiol 2009;62:e1–34.
- Dekker J, Boot B, van der Woude LH, Bijlsma JW. Pain and disability in osteoarthritis: a review of biobehavioral mechanisms. J Behav Med 1992;15:189–214.
- Brosseau L, Wells GA, Kenny GP, Reid R, Maetzel A, Tugwell P, et al. The implementation of a community-based aerobic walking program for mild to moderate knee osteoarthritis: a knowledge translation randomized controlled trial: part II: clinical outcomes. BMC Public Health 2012;12:1073.
- Lund H, Weile U, Christensen R, Rostock B, Downey A, Bartels EM, et al. A randomized controlled trial of aquatic and land-based exercise in patients with knee osteoarthritis. J Rehabil Med 2008;40:137–44.
- Maurer BT, Stern AG, Kinrossian B, Cook KD, Schumacher Jr HR. Osteoarthritis of the knee: isokinetic quadriceps exercise versus an educational intervention. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1999;80:1293–9.
- Mikesky AE, Mazzuca SA, Brandt KD, Perkins SM, Damush T, Lane KA. Effects of strength training on the incidence and progression of knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2006;55:690–9.
- Simao AP, Avelar NC, Tossige-Gomes R, Neves CD, Mendonca VA, Miranda AS, et al. Functional performance and inflammatory cytokines after squat exercises and whole-body vibration in elderly individuals with knee osteoarthritis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2012;93:1692–700.
- van Baar ME, Dekker J, Oostendorp RA, Bijl D, Voorn TB, Bijlsma JW. Effectiveness of exercise in patients with osteoarthritis of hip or knee: nine months' follow up. Ann Rheum Dis 2001;60:1123–30.

22. van Baar ME, Dekker J, Oostendorp RA, Bijl D, Voorn TB, Lemmens JA, et al. The effectiveness of exercise therapy in patients with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee: a randomized clinical trial. *J Rheumatol* 1998;25:2432–9.
23. Akyol Y, Durmus D, Alayli G, Tander B, Bek Y, Canturk F, et al. Does short-wave diathermy increase the effectiveness of isokinetic exercise on pain, function, knee muscle strength, quality of life, and depression in the patients with knee osteoarthritis? A randomized controlled clinical study. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med* 2010;46:325–36.
24. An B, Dai K, Zhu Z, Wang Y, Hao Y, Tang T, et al. Baduanjin alleviates the symptoms of knee osteoarthritis. *J Altern Complement Med* 2008;14:167–74.
25. An BC, Wang Y, Jiang X, Lu HS, Fang ZY, Wang Y, et al. Effects of Baduanjin () exercise on knee osteoarthritis: a one-year study. *Chin J Integr Med* 2013;19:143–8.
26. Andersson ML, Thorstensson CA, Roos EM, Petersson IF, Heinegård D, Saxne T. Serum levels of cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP) increase temporarily after physical exercise in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2006;7:98.
27. Armagan O, Serin DK, Calisir C, Dokumacioglu A, Ozgen M, Oner S, et al. Inhalation therapy of calcitonin relieves osteoarthritis of the knee. *J Korean Med Sci* 2012;27:1405–10.
28. Baker KR, Nelson ME, Felson DT, Layne JE, Sarno R, Roubenoff R. The efficacy of home based progressive strength training in older adults with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *J Rheumatol* 2001;28:1655–65.
29. Bautch JC, Clayton MK, Chu Q, Johnson KA. Synovial fluid chondroitin sulphate epitopes 3B3 and 7D4, and glycosaminoglycan in human knee osteoarthritis after exercise. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2000;59:887–91.
30. Beaupre LA, Lier D, Davies DM, Johnston DB. The effect of a preoperative exercise and education program on functional recovery, health related quality of life, and health service utilization following primary total knee arthroplasty. *J Rheumatol* 2004;31:1166–73.
31. Bellometti S, Berte F, Richelmi P, Tassoni T, Galzigna L. Bone remodelling in osteoarthrotic subjects undergoing a physical exercise program. *Clin Chim Acta* 2002;325:97–104.
32. Bennell KL, Hunt MA, Wrigley TV, Hunter DJ, McManus FJ, Hedges PW, et al. Hip strengthening reduces symptoms but not knee load in people with medial knee osteoarthritis and varus malalignment: a randomised controlled trial. *Osteoarthritis Cartil* 2010;18:621–8.
33. Borjesson M, Robertson E, Weidenhielm L, Mattsson E, Olsson E. Physiotherapy in knee osteoarthritis: effect on pain and walking. *Physiother Res Int* 1996;1:89–97.
34. Brismee JM, Paige RL, Chyu MC, Boatright JD, Hagar JM, McCaleb JA, et al. Group and home-based tai chi in elderly subjects with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Rehabil* 2007;21:99–111.
35. Bruce-Brand RA, Walls RJ, Ong JC, Emerson BS, O'Byrne JM, Moyna NM. Effects of home-based resistance training and neuromuscular electrical stimulation in knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2012;13:118.
36. Callaghan MJ, Oldham JA, Hunt J. An evaluation of exercise regimes for patients with osteoarthritis of the knee: a single-blind randomized controlled trial. *Clin Rehabil* 1995;9:213–8.
37. Cetin N, Aytar A, Atalay A, Akman MN. Comparing hot pack, short-wave diathermy, ultrasound, and TENS on isokinetic strength, pain, and functional status of women with osteoarthritic knees: a single-blind, randomized, controlled trial. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2008;87:443–51.
38. Chaipinyo K, Karoonsupcharoen O. No difference between home-based strength training and home-based balance training on pain in patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomised trial. *Aust J Physiother* 2009;55:25–30.
39. Cheung GL, Hui-Chan CW. Would the addition of TENS to exercise training produce better physical performance outcomes in people with knee osteoarthritis than either intervention alone? *Clin Rehabil* 2004;18:487–97.
40. Chua Jr SD, Messier SP, Legault C, Lenz ME, Thonar EJ, Loeser RF. Effect of an exercise and dietary intervention on serum biomarkers in overweight and obese adults with osteoarthritis of the knee. *Osteoarthritis Cartil* 2008;16:1047–53.
41. Diracoglu D, Aydin R, Baskent A, Celik A. Effects of kinesthesia and balance exercises in knee osteoarthritis. *J Clin Rheumatol* 2005;11:303–10.
42. Durmus D, Alayli G, Canturk F. Effects of quadriceps electrical stimulation program on clinical parameters in the patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Clin Rheumatol* 2007;26:674–8.
43. Durmus D, Alayli G, Bayrak IK, Canturk F. Assessment of the effect of glucosamine sulfate and exercise on knee cartilage using magnetic resonance imaging in patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil* 2012;25:275–84.
44. Durmus D, Alayli G, Aliyazicioglu Y, Buyukakincak O, Canturk F. Effects of glucosamine sulfate and exercise therapy on serum leptin levels in patients with knee osteoarthritis: preliminary results of randomized controlled clinical trial. *Rheumatol Int* 2013;33:593–9.
45. Elboim-Gabyzon M, Rozen N, Laufer Y. Does neuromuscular electrical stimulation enhance the effectiveness of an exercise programme in subjects with knee osteoarthritis? A randomized controlled trial. *Clin Rehabil* 2013;27:246–57.
46. Eyigor S, Hepguler S, Capaci K. A comparison of muscle training methods in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Clin Rheumatol* 2004;23:109–15.
47. Fisher NM, Gresham G, Pendergast DR. Effects of a quantitative progressive rehabilitation program applied unilaterally to the osteoarthritic knee. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1993;74:1319–26.
48. Fitzgerald GK, Piva SR, Gil AB, Wisniewski SR, Oddis CV, Irrgang JJ. Agility and perturbation training techniques in exercise therapy for reducing pain and improving function in people with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized clinical trial. *Phys Ther* 2011;91:452–69.
49. Foroughi N, Smith RM, Lange AK, Baker MK, Fiatarone Singh MA, Vanwanseele B. Lower limb muscle strengthening does not change frontal plane moments in women with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)* 2011;26:167–74.
50. Foroughi N, Smith RM, Lange AK, Singh MA, Vanwanseele B. Progressive resistance training and dynamic alignment in osteoarthritis: a single-blind randomised controlled trial. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)* 2011;26:71–7.
51. Fransen M, Crosbie J, Edmonds J. Physical therapy is effective for patients with osteoarthritis of the knee: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *J Rheumatol* 2001;28:156–64.
52. French HP, Cusack T, Brennan A, Caffrey A, Conroy R, Cuddy V, et al. Exercise and manual physiotherapy arthritis research trial (EMPART) for osteoarthritis of the hip: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2013;94:302–14.

53. Ghroubi S, Elleuch H, Kaffel N, Echikh T, Abid M, Elleuch MH. Contribution of exercise and diet in the management of knee osteoarthritis in the obese. [Apport de l'exercice physique et du régime dans la prise en charge de la gonarthrose chez l'obèse]. Ann Readapt Med Phys 2008;51:663–70.
54. Goryachev Y, Debbi EM, Haim A, Rozen N, Wolf A. Foot center of pressure manipulation and gait therapy influence lower limb muscle activation in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 2011;21:704–11.
55. Haim A, Rubin G, Rozen N, Goryachev Y, Wolf A. Reduction in knee adduction moment via non-invasive biomechanical training: a longitudinal gait analysis study. J Biomech 2012;45:41–5.
56. Helmark IC, Mikkelsen UR, Borglum J, Rothe A, Petersen MC, Andersen O, et al. Exercise increases interleukin-10 levels both intraarticularly and peri-synovially in patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. Arthritis Res Ther 2010;12:R126.
57. Hinman RS, Heywood SE, Day AR. Aquatic physical therapy for hip and knee osteoarthritis: results of a single-blind randomized controlled trial. Phys Ther 2007;87:32–43.
58. Hoeksma HL, Dekker J, Rondijk HK, Heering A, van der Lubbe N, Vel C, et al. Comparison of manual therapy and exercise therapy in osteoarthritis of the hip: a randomized clinical trial. Arthritis Rheum 2004;51:722–9.
59. Hunt MA, Keefe FJ, Bryant C, Metcalf BR, Ahamed Y, Nicholas MK, et al. A physiotherapist-delivered, combined exercise and pain coping skills training intervention for individuals with knee osteoarthritis: a pilot study. Knee 2013;20:106–12.
60. Hurley MV, Scott DL. Improvements in quadriceps sensorimotor function and disability of patients with knee osteoarthritis following a clinically practicable exercise regime. Br J Rheumatol 1998;37:1181–7.
61. Jan MH, Lin JJ, Liau JJ, Lin YF, Lin DH. Investigation of clinical effects of high- and low-resistance training for patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. Phys Ther 2008;88:427–36.
62. Jan MH, Lin CH, Lin YF, Lin JJ, Lin DH. Effects of weight-bearing versus nonweight-bearing exercise on function, walking speed, and position sense in participants with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2009;90:897–904.
63. Jigami H, Sato D, Tsubaki A, Tokunaga Y, Ishikawa T, Dohmae Y, et al. Effects of weekly and fortnightly therapeutic exercise on physical function and health-related quality of life in individuals with hip osteoarthritis. J Orthop Sci 2012;17:737–44.
64. Juhakoski R, Tenhonen S, Malmivaara A, Kiviniemi V, Anttonen T, Arokoski JP. A pragmatic randomized controlled study of the effectiveness and cost consequences of exercise therapy in hip osteoarthritis. Clin Rehabil 2011;25:370–83.
65. Karatosun V, Unver B, Gocen Z, Sen A, Gunal I. Intra-articular hyaluronic acid compared with progressive knee exercises in osteoarthritis of the knee: a prospective randomized trial with long-term follow-up. Rheumatol Int 2006;26:277–84.
66. Karatosun V, Unver B, Ozden A, Ozay Z, Gunal I. Intra-articular hyaluronic acid compared to exercise therapy in osteoarthritis of the ankle. A prospective randomized trial with long-term follow-up. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2008;26:288–94.
67. Kawasaki T, Kurosawa H, Ikeda H, Kim SG, Osawa A, Takazawa Y, et al. Additive effects of glucosamine or risedronate for the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee combined with home exercise: a prospective randomized 18-month trial. J Bone Min Metab 2008;26:279–87.
68. Kawasaki T, Kurosawa H, Ikeda H, Takazawa Y, Ishijima M, Kubota M, et al. Therapeutic home exercise versus intra-articular hyaluronate injection for osteoarthritis of the knee: 6-month prospective randomized open-labeled trial. J Orthop Sci 2009;14:182–91.
69. Kim IS, Chung SH, Park YJ, Kang HY. The effectiveness of an aquaerobic exercise program for patients with osteoarthritis. Appl Nurs Res 2012;25:181–9.
70. King LK, Birmingham TB, Kean CO, Jones IC, Bryant DM, Giffin JR. Resistance training for medial compartment knee osteoarthritis and malalignment. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2008;40:1376–84.
71. Kreindler H, Lewis CB, Rush S, Schaefer K. Effects of three exercise protocols on strength of persons with osteoarthritis of the knee. Top Geriatr Rehabil 1989;4:32–9.
72. Kuptniratsaikul V, Tosayanonda O, Nilganuwong S, Thamalikitkul V. The efficacy of a muscle exercise program to improve functional performance of the knee in patients with osteoarthritis. J Med Assoc Thai 2002;85:33–40.
73. Lim BW, Hinman RS, Wrigley TV, Sharma L, Bennell KL. Does knee malalignment mediate the effects of quadriceps strengthening on knee adduction moment, pain, and function in medial knee osteoarthritis? A randomized controlled trial. Arthritis Rheum 2008;59:943–51.
74. Lim JY, Tchai E, Jang SN. Effectiveness of aquatic exercise for obese patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. PM R 2010;2:723–31. quiz 793.
75. Lin DH, Lin YF, Chai HM, Han YC, Jan MH. Comparison of proprioceptive functions between computerized proprioception facilitation exercise and closed kinetic chain exercise in patients with knee osteoarthritis. Clin Rheumatol 2007;26:520–8.
76. Lin DH, Lin CH, Lin YF, Jan MH. Efficacy of 2 non-weight-bearing interventions, proprioception training versus strength training, for patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized clinical trial. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 2009;39:450–7.
77. Mangione KK, McCully K, Gloviak A, Lefebvre I, Hofmann M, Craik R. The effects of high-intensity and low-intensity cycle ergometry in older adults with knee osteoarthritis. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 1999;54:M184–90.
78. Mascalci NC, Vancini RL, Andrade ML, Magalhaes Ede P, de Lira CA, Coimbra IB. Effects of kinesiotherapy, ultrasound and electrotherapy in management of bilateral knee osteoarthritis: prospective clinical trial. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2012;13:182.
79. McCarthy CJ, Mills PM, Pullen R, Roberts C, Silman A, Oldham JA. Supplementing a home exercise programme with a class-based exercise programme is more effective than home exercise alone in the treatment of knee osteoarthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2004;43:880–6.
80. McKay C, Prapavessis H, Doherty T. The effect of a pre-habilitation exercise program on quadriceps strength for patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled pilot study. PM R 2012;4:647–56.
81. McQuade KJ, de Oliveira AS. Effects of progressive resistance strength training on knee biomechanics during single leg step-up in persons with mild knee osteoarthritis. Clin Biomed (Bristol, Avon) 2011;26:741–8.
82. Messier SP, Thompson CD, Ettinger WH. Effects of long-term aerobic or weight training regimes on gait in an older, osteoarthritic population. J Appl Biomech 1997;13:205–25.
83. Messier SP, Loeser RF, Mitchell MN, Valle G, Morgan TP, Rejeski WJ, et al. Exercise and weight loss in obese older adults with knee osteoarthritis: a preliminary study. J Am Geriatr Soc 2000;48:1062–72.

84. Messier SP, Loeser RF, Miller GD, Morgan TM, Rejeski WJ, Sevick MA, et al. Exercise and dietary weight loss in overweight and obese older adults with knee osteoarthritis: the arthritis, diet, and activity promotion trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;50:1501–10.
85. Messier SP, Mihalko SL, Legault C, Miller GD, Nicklas BJ, DeVita P, et al. Effects of intensive diet and exercise on knee joint loads, inflammation, and clinical outcomes among overweight and obese adults with knee osteoarthritis: the IDEA randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2013;310:1263–73.
86. Miller GD, Nicklas BJ, Davis CC, Ambrosius WT, Loeser RF, Messier SP. Is serum leptin related to physical function and is it modifiable through weight loss and exercise in older adults with knee osteoarthritis? *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2004;28:1383–90.
87. Miltner O, Schneider U, Graf J, Niethard FU. Influence of isokinetic and ergometric exercises on oxygen partial pressure measurement in the human knee joint. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 1997;411:183–9.
88. Ni GX, Song L, Yu B, Huang CH, Lin JH. Tai chi improves physical function in older Chinese women with knee osteoarthritis. *J Clin Rheumatol* 2010;16:64–7.
89. Nicklas BJ, Ambrosius W, Messier SP, Miller GD, Penninx BW, Loeser RF, et al. Diet-induced weight loss, exercise, and chronic inflammation in older, obese adults: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2004;79:544–51.
90. Peloquin L, Bravo G, Gauthier P, Lacombe G, Billiard JS. Effects of a cross-training exercise program in persons with osteoarthritis of the knee a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Rheumatol* 1999;5:126–36.
91. Petersen SG, Saxne T, Heinegard D, Hansen M, Holm L, Koskinen S, et al. Glucosamine but not ibuprofen alters cartilage turnover in osteoarthritis patients in response to physical training. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 2010;18:34–40.
92. Petersen SG, Miller BF, Hansen M, Kjaer M, Holm L. Exercise and NSAIDs: effect on muscle protein synthesis in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011;43:425–31.
93. Quilty B, Tucker M, Campbell R, Dieppe P. Physiotherapy, including quadriceps exercises and patellar taping, for knee osteoarthritis with predominant patello-femoral joint involvement: randomized controlled trial. *J Rheumatol* 2003;30:1311–7.
94. Quirk AS, Newman RJ, Newman KJ. An evaluation of interferential therapy shortwave diathermy and exercise in the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee. *Phys Ther* 1985;71:55–7.
95. Rogers MW, Wilder FV. The effects of strength training among persons with hand osteoarthritis: a two-year follow-up study. *J Hand Ther* 2007;20:244–9. quiz 250.
96. Rogers MW, Wilder FV. Exercise and hand osteoarthritis symptomatology: a controlled crossover trial. *J Hand Ther* 2009;22:10–7. discussion 19–20; quiz 18.
97. Rogind H, Bibow-Nielsen B, Jensen B, Moller HC, Frimodt-Møller H, Bliddal H. The effects of a physical training program on patients with osteoarthritis of the knees. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1998;79:1421–7.
98. Roper JA, Bressel E, Tillman MD. Acute aquatic treadmill exercise improves gait and pain in people with knee osteoarthritis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2013;94:419–25.
99. Rosa UH, Velasquez Tlapanco J, Lara Maya C, Villarreal Rios E, Martinez Gonzalez I, Vargas Daza ER, et al. Comparison of the effectiveness of isokinetic vs isometric therapeutic exercise in patients with osteoarthritis of knee. [Comparacion de la eficacia ejercicio terapeutico isocinetico vs isometrico en pacientes con artrosis de rodilla]. *Reumatol Clin* 2012;8:10–4.
100. Rosemffet MG, Schneeberger EE, Citera G, Sgobba ME, Laiz C, Schmulevich H, et al. Effects of functional electrostimulation on pain, muscular strength, and functional capacity in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. *J Clin Rheumatol* 2004;10:246–9.
101. Salacinski AJ, Krohn K, Lewis SF, Holland ML, Ireland K, Marchetti G. The effects of group cycling on gait and pain-related disability in individuals with mild-to-moderate knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 2012;42:985–95.
102. Salli A, Sahin N, Baskent A, Ugurlu H. The effect of two exercise programs on various functional outcome measures in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Isokinetic Exerc Sci* 2010;18:201–9.
103. Sayers SP, Gibson K, Cook CR. Effect of high-speed power training on muscle performance, function, and pain in older adults with knee osteoarthritis: a pilot investigation. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2012;64:46–53.
104. Schencking M, Wilm S, Redaelli M. A comparison of Kneipp hydrotherapy with conventional physiotherapy in the treatment of osteoarthritis: a pilot trial. *J Integr Med* 2013;11:17–25.
105. Sen A, Gocen Z, Unver B, Karatosun V, Gunal I. The frequency of visits by the physiotherapist of patients receiving home-based exercise therapy for knee osteoarthritis. *Knee* 2004;11:151–3.
106. Shakoor N, Furmanov S, Nelson DE, Li Y, Block JA. Pain and its relationship with muscle strength and proprioception in knee OA: results of an 8-week home exercise pilot study. *J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact* 2008;8:35–42.
107. Sled EA, Khoja L, Deluzio KJ, Olney SJ, Culham EG. Effect of a home program of hip abductor exercises on knee joint loading, strength, function, and pain in people with knee osteoarthritis: a clinical trial. *Phys Ther* 2010;90:895–904.
108. Song R, Lee EO, Lam P, Bae SC. Effects of tai chi exercise on pain, balance, muscle strength, and perceived difficulties in physical functioning in older women with osteoarthritis: a randomized clinical trial. *J Rheumatol* 2003;30:2039–44.
109. Song R, Roberts BL, Lee EO, Lam P, Bae SC. A randomized study of the effects of t'ai chi on muscle strength, bone mineral density, and fear of falling in women with osteoarthritis. *J Altern Complement Med* 2010;16:227–33.
110. Talbot LA, Gaines JM, Huynh TN, Metter EJ. A home-based pedometer-driven walking program to increase physical activity in older adults with osteoarthritis of the knee: a preliminary study. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2003;51:387–92.
111. Veenhof C, Koke AJ, Dekker J, Oostendorp RA, Bijlsma JW, van Tulder MW, et al. Effectiveness of behavioral graded activity in patients with osteoarthritis of the hip and/or knee: a randomized clinical trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006;55:925–34.
112. Veenhof C, Van den Ende CH, Dekker J, Koke AJ, Oostendorp RA, Bijlsma JW. Which patients with osteoarthritis of hip and/or knee benefit most from behavioral graded activity? *Int J Behav Med* 2007;14:86–91.
113. Wang TJ, Belza B, Elaine Thompson F, Whitney JD, Bennett K. Effects of aquatic exercise on flexibility, strength and aerobic fitness in adults with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee. *J Adv Nurs* 2007;57:141–52.
114. Wang C, Schmid CH, Hibberd PL, Kalish R, Roubenoff R, Rones R, et al. Tai Chi is effective in treating knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009;61:1545–53.

115. Wang TJ, Lee SC, Liang SY, Tung HH, Wu SF, Lin YP. Comparing the efficacy of aquatic exercises and land-based exercises for patients with knee osteoarthritis. *J Clin Nurs* 2011;20:2609–22.
116. Yokochi M, Watanabe T, Ida K, Yoshida K, Sato Y. Effects of physical exercise prescribed by a medical support team on elderly lower extremity osteoarthritis combined with metabolic syndrome and/or type 2 diabetes. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* 2012;12:446–53.
117. Knoop J, Steultjens MP, Roorda LD, Lems WF, van der Esch M, Thorstensson CA, et al. Improvement in upper leg muscle strength underlies beneficial effects of exercise therapy in knee osteoarthritis. *Physiotherapy* 2014 Aug 13. pii: S0031-9406(14)00073-X. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2014.06.002>. [Epub ahead of print].
118. Beckwee D, Vaes P, Cnudde M, Swinnen E, Bautmans I. Osteoarthritis of the knee: why does exercise work? A qualitative study of the literature. *Ageing Res Rev* 2013;12: 226–36.
119. Penninx BW, Messier SP, Rejeski WJ, Williamson JD, DiBari M, Cavazzini C, et al. Physical exercise and the prevention of disability in activities of daily living in older persons with osteoarthritis. *Arch Intern Med* 2001;161: 2309–16.
120. Chomistek AK, Chiuve SE, Jensen MK, Cook NR, Rimm EB. Vigorous physical activity, mediating biomarkers, and risk of myocardial infarction. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011;43: 1884–90.